

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DRI ICA TIONI NO	FILING DATE	FIRST MANAGE INVENTOR	ATTORNEY POCKET NO	CONFIDMATIONING
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,674	11/21/2003	Joshua D. Hug	109905-130795	1315
60380 STEVEN C. ST	7590 01/22/2008 FWART		EXAMINER	
REALNETWORKS, INC. 2601 ELLIOTT AVENUE, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WA 98121			JOHNSON, CARLTON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	·		. 2136	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1172		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
'	10/719,674	HUG, JOSHUA D.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Carlton V. Johnson	2136		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed not be mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 S	eptember 2007.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the second and the correct to be the second and the second area of the second and the second area of the second and the second area of the second area.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
Notice of Draitsperson's Faterit Drawing Review (1 10-0-0) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responding to application papers filed **11-21-2003**.
- 2. Claims 1 61 are pending. Claims 1, 20, 31, 34, 49 are independent.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/6/2007 have been fully considered but they are moot due to new grounds of rejection.

Responses Based on Previous Grounds of Rejection:

3.1 The Nonaka prior art discloses an apparatus for encryption functions with cryptographic key capabilities and a license (device) key. (see Nonaka paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client, apparatus, license (device) key) Applicant remarks mentions a unique key but the term "unique" does not appear anywhere within the specification or the original claims. There is no disclosure in the specification or the original claims that the device key is unique.

The Nonaka prior art discloses the capability for the usage of content data to be tracked. The usage of content is tracked and logged by the Nonaka prior art.

(see Nonaka paragraph [0053], lines 23-27: track content usage)

The Nonaka prior art discloses playback capability. There is no indication of placing a limitation or restriction on the playback capabilities of content data. The unlimited playback of content data is disclosed. (see Nonaka paragraph [0362], lines 1-

2; paragraph [0477], lines 1-3: unrestricted (unlimited) playback capabilities)

Applicant is reminded that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Furthermore, in response to applicant's arguments against the reference individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

The Nonaka and Chase prior art combination discloses the capability for content data revocation (disabling) as presented within the claimed limitation. (see Chase col. 3, lines 60-63; usage request; col. 4, lines 10-16; col. 33, lines 54-56; col. 33, lines 60-63; col. 34, lines 4-9: content compromised, content disabled, access permitted only if content is not disabled) The Chase prior art is in an analogous field of endeavor, which is the field of content data access management and its protection based on access rights. Any additional functions do not remove the fact that the referenced prior art discloses the revocation or disabling of content data.

The Serret-Avila prior art is in the same field of endeavor as the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2136

The Serret-Avila prior art concerns systems and methods for authenticating and protecting the integrity of electronic information (content data) using cryptographic techniques. The generation of a hash is a cryptographic technique and utilized in the claimed invention. Authentication is the application of access rights to electronic information or content data to determine the scope of access and utilized in the claimed invention.

Page 4

3.2 The examiner has considered the applicant's remarks concerning a system wherein an integrity hash is obtained of rights information stored at a client device and associated with content stored at the client device. The integrity hash is encrypted using a client device key to generate an encrypted hash. The client device key is externally inaccessible from the client device. The encrypted hash is stored on the client device. Applicant's arguments have thus been fully analyzed and considered but they are not persuasive.

After an additional analysis of the applicant's invention, remarks, and a search of the available prior art, it was determined that the current set of prior art consisting of Nonaka (20030046238), Serret-Avila (6,959,384), Chase (7,080,043), Hall (7,062,500), and Thoma (20020152393) discloses the applicant's invention including disclosures in Remarks dated September 6, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/719,674 Page 5

Art Unit: 2136

a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1 - 4, 8, 9, 11 - 24, 26 - 31, 34 - 39, 41, 42, 44 - 52, 54, 56, 57, 59, 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Nonaka et al.** (US PGPUB No. 20030046238) in view of Hall et al. (US Patent No. 7,062,500) and further in view of Thoma et al. (US PGPUB No. 20020152393).

Regarding Claim 1, Nonaka discloses a method comprising:

c) storing the encrypted hash on the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for encrypted content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client)

Nonaka discloses wherein obtaining an integrity hash of rights information stored at a client device, said rights information being associated with content stored at the client device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019, lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11; paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate (i.e. obtain) integrity hash using UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for encrypted content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client; paragraph [0192], lines 1-5; paragraph [0239], lines 1-3: data storage) Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby rights information stored in a clear form.

.However, Hall discloses:

a) obtaining an integrity hash of rights information stored in a clear form at a client device, (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the storage of digital rights information in clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37: " ... The present invention also provides techniques for providing rights management data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability, user and system transparency, and compatibility. ... ")

Nonaka-Hall discloses wherein encrypting the integrity hash using a client device key to generate an encrypted hash, said client device key being externally inaccessible from the client device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) keys utilized; paragraph [0346], lines 5-8) Nonaka-Hall does not specifically discloses whereby device key being externally inaccessible from the client device.

However, Thoma discloses:

b) device key being externally inaccessible from the client device; (see Thoma paragraph [0005], lines 1-3: content distribution; paragraph [0031], lines 15-21; paragraph [0033], lines 5-9; paragraph [0033], lines 11-12: inaccessible key)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka-Hall as taught by Thoma to enable the capability for an inaccessible key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Thoma in order to enable the capability for the selection of the terminal device to receive distribute digital content from a wide variety of devices. (see Thoma paragraph [0012], lines 7-13: "... The invention also allows free selection of the terminal device on which content is consumed. That is, the invention enables a wide variety of devices to distribute digital content to. The invention also provides a system that allows for transferring content from one terminal device to another, while still protecting the rights of the copyright owner. ... ")

Regarding Claims 2, 35, 50, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 wherein obtaining the integrity hash comprises: receiving the integrity hash from a server device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: receive hash, UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, server)

Regarding Claims 3, 36, 51, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 wherein obtaining the integrity hash comprises: generating the integrity hash on the client

Art Unit: 2136

device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate hash; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client)

Page 8

Regarding Claims 4, 37, 52, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 3 wherein generating the integrity hash on the client device comprises:

- a) applying the client device key in a combination with the rights information; (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-5; : license key utilized with UCP (i.e. rights information) for hash generation) and
- b) determining the integrity hash from the combination of the rights information and the client device key. (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: license (i.e. device) key, UCP (i.e. rights information) utilized to generate (i.e. determine) hash)

Regarding Claims 8, 56, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising:

- a) receiving, at the client device, a content key for the content; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: receive encryption key)
- b) encrypting the content key using the client device key to generate an encrypted content key; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) key utilized) and
- c) storing the encrypted content key on the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for encrypted content key data; paragraph

Art Unit: 2136

[0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client)

Page 9

Regarding Claims 9, 42, 57, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising:

- a) generating a validation hash from at least the rights information; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019, lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus (i.e. client device); paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate integrity (i.e. validation) hash)
- b) decrypting the encrypted hash to recover the integrity hash; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0021], lines 3-8: decryption of UCP (i.e. rights) information) and
- c) comparing the validation hash to the integrity hash to detect tampering with the rights information. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 4-8: comparison of hash values to detect tampering)

Regarding Claim 11, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for UCP (i.e. rights information), and content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client) Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby storing the rights information on the client device in a clear form. However, Hall discloses wherein storing the rights information on the client device in a

clear form. (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

Page 10

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the storage of digital rights information in clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Regarding Claims 12, 60, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 10 further comprising: reading the rights information from the client device out to a server device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: transfer UCP (i.e. rights) information) Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby reading the rights information from the client device in the clear form. However, Hall discloses wherein reading the rights information from the client device in the clear form. (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for reading the rights information from the client device in the clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see

Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Regarding Claims 13, 28, 29, 30, 45, 61, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the rights information comprise usage information, the method further comprising:

a) tracking usage of the content; (see Nonaka paragraph [0053], lines 23-27: track content usage)

Page 11

- b) updating the rights information with changes in usage; (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: transfer (i.e. update) UCP (i.e. rights) information)
- c) regenerating, re-encrypting, and restoring the integrity hash on the client device for each update of the rights information. (see Nonaka paragraph [0019, lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus (i.e. client device); paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: re-generate (i.e. generate a second time) integrity hash; paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for encrypted UCP (i.e. rights) information)

Regarding Claim 14, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the integrity hash comprises a Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC). (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate a hash (i.e. integrity hash) value utilizing cryptographic (i.e. encryption/decryption key) procedures in a hash authentication processing system)

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding Claims 15, 46, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the client device key comprises a code embedded in hardware of the client device having no externally accessible data path. (see Nonaka paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) key utilized; paragraph [0346], lines 5-8: inaccessible secure device utilized for hash generation)

Page 12

Regarding Claim 16, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the client device comprises at least one of an MP3 player, a personal data assistant, and cellular phone. (see Nonaka paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client device such as a PDA, cellular phone, or MP3 player (i.e. systems containing CPU))

Regarding Claim 17, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising at least one of:

- a) downloading the rights information from a server device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: transfer (i.e. download) UCP (i.e. rights) information) and
- b) installing a storage medium having the rights information stored thereon. (see Nonaka paragraph [0537], lines 3-6: place (i.e. install) on recording medium containing UCP (i.e. rights) information)

Regarding Claim 18, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the rights information grant unlimited play for the content on the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: playback module; paragraph [0346], lines 1-5: playback content data)

Regarding Claim 19, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 3 wherein generating the integrity hash comprises generating the integrity hash in trusted hardware. (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: obtain, generate integrity hash: SAM (i.e. trusted, secure hardware), generate hash; paragraph [0346], lines 5-8: inaccessible secure, trusted device)

Regarding Claim 20, Nonaka discloses a method comprising:

- a) obtaining a first integrity hash of rights information stored at a client device, said rights information being associated with content stored at the client device, said first integrity hash having been generated using an external key as an integrity secret; (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate (i.e. obtain) integrity hash; paragraph [0022], lines 1-5: external, session key utilized)
- b) obtaining a second integrity hash of the rights information; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019, lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus (i.e. client device); paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: re-generate or obtain (i.e. a second) integrity hash)
- c) encrypting the second integrity hash using a client device key to generate an

encrypted hash, said client device key being externally inaccessible from the client device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) key utilized; paragraph [0346], lines 5-8: inaccessible secure device utilized for hash generation)

e) storing the encrypted hash at the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for encrypted content key data storage; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client)

Nonaka discloses wherein storing the rights information and the first integrity hash at the client device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client) Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby storing the rights information in a clear form However, Hall discloses:

d) storing the rights information in a clear form; (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the storage of digital rights information in clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information.

Art Unit: 2136

(see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Regarding Claim 21, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 20 further comprising:

Page 15

a) receiving a content key at the client device for the content; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: receive an encryption key at client device)

- b) encrypting the content key using the client device key to generate an encrypted content key; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) key utilized) and
- c) storing the encrypted content key on the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for encrypted content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client)

Regarding Claim 22, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 20 wherein obtaining the first integrity hash comprises:

- a) receiving the external key at the client device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026],
 lines 21-25: receive key (i.e. session key) at client device) and
- b) generating the first integrity hash at the client device using the external key. (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate integrity hash value at client device)

Regarding Claim 23, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 20 wherein obtaining the

Art Unit: 2136

first integrity hash comprises: receiving the first integrity hash from a server device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: receive UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, server)

Page 16

Regarding Claim 24, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 20 wherein obtaining the second integrity hash comprises:

- a) receiving the second integrity hash from a server device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: receive UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, server) and
- b) receiving a key used by the server device to generate the second integrity hash.

 (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: receiving key)

Regarding Claim 26, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 20 further comprising:

- a) reading the rights information and the first integrity hash from the client device in the clear form out to a server device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: transfer (i.e. reading) UCP (i.e. rights) information))
- b) generating a validation hash, using the external key, of at least the rights information read from the client device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate integrity hash at client device; paragraph [0022], lines 1-5: external,

Art Unit: 2136

session key utilized) and

c) comparing the validation hash to the first integrity hash to detect tampering. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 4-8: comparison hash values to detect tampering)

Page 17

Regarding Claim 27, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 20 further comprising:

- a) generating a validation hash from at least the rights information; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019, lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus (i.e. client device); paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate integrity hash)
- b) decrypting the encrypted hash using the client device key to recover the second integrity hash; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0021], lines 3-8: decryption UCP (i.e. rights) information) and
- c) comparing the validation hash to the second integrity hash to detect tampering.
 (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 4-8: comparison hash values to detect tampering)

Regarding Claim 31, Nonaka discloses a method comprising:

a) generating a validation hash from at least stored clear form rights information associated with content stored on a client device; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019, lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus (i.e. client device); paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate integrity hash; paragraph [0192],

Application/Control Number: 10/719,674 Page 18

Art Unit: 2136

lines 1-5; paragraph [0239], lines 1-3: data may be stored in an unencrypted (clear text) form)

c) comparing the validation hash to the integrity hash to detect tampering with the rights information. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 4-8: comparison hash values to detect tampering)

Nonaka discloses wherein decrypting an encrypted hash to recover an integrity hash using a client device key, said integrity hash having been previously generated from at least the stored rights information associated with the content; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0021], lines 3-8: decryption UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0346], lines 5-8: inaccessible secure device utilized for hash generation; paragraph [0192], lines 1-5; paragraph [0239], lines 1-3: data storage) Nonaka does not specifically discloses whereby stored clear form rights information. However, Hall discloses:

b) stored clear form rights information; (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the storage of digital rights information in clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Nonaka-Hall does not specifically disclose whereby a client device key that is externally inaccessible from the client device. However, Thoma discloses wherein a client device key that is externally inaccessible from the client device. (see Thoma paragraph [0005], lines 1-3: content distribution; paragraph [0031], lines 15-21; paragraph [0033], lines 5-9; paragraph [0033], lines 11-12: inaccessible key)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka-Hall as taught by Thoma to enable the capability for an inaccessible key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Thoma in order to enable the capability for the selection of the terminal device to receive distribute digital content from a wide variety of devices. (see Thoma paragraph [0012], lines 7-13)

Regarding Claim 34, Nonaka discloses a client device comprising:

- c) hash circuitry to obtain an integrity hash of the rights information; ((see Nonaka paragraph [0019, lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus (i.e. client device); paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate (i.e. obtain) integrity hash) and
- d) encryption circuitry to encrypt the integrity hash using the client device key to generate an encrypted hash; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) key utilized)
- e) said memory to store the encrypted hash. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for encrypted content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6;

paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client)

Nonaka discloses wherein a memory to store content and rights information associated with the content, said memory being externally accessible; (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for content key data; paragraph [0192], lines 1-5; paragraph [0239], lines 1-3: data storage) Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby to store clear form rights information.

However, Hall discloses:

b) to store clear form rights information; (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the storage of digital rights information in clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Nonaka-Hall discloses wherein a register to store a client device key. (see Nonaka paragraph [0048], lines 1-4: register usage by data processing apparatus) Nonaka-Hall does not specifically disclose whereby being externally inaccessible from the client device.

However, Thoma discloses:

a) said register for storing a client device key being externally inaccessible from the client device; (see Thoma paragraph [0005], lines 1-3: content distribution; paragraph [0031], lines 15-21; paragraph [0033], lines 5-9; paragraph [0033], lines 11-12: inaccessible key)

Page 21

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka-Hall as taught by Thoma to enable the capability for an inaccessible key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Thoma in order to enable the capability for the selection of the terminal device to receive distribute digital content from a wide variety of devices. (see Thoma paragraph [0012], lines 7-13)

Regarding Claim 38, Nonaka discloses the client device of claim 34 wherein the integrity hash comprises a first integrity hash, the hash circuitry further to obtain a second integrity hash of the rights information, said memory to store the second integrity hash. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for content data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client) Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby to store the second integrity hash in a clear form. However, Hall discloses wherein to store the second integrity hash in a clear form. (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

Page 22

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the storage of digital rights information (integrity hash) in clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Regarding Claims 39, 54, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 5 wherein obtaining the second integrity hash comprises: receiving the second integrity hash from a server device (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6; receive hash, UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU. server), said server device having generated the second integrity hash using a server device key. (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) key utilized; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, server)

Regarding Claim 41, Nonaka discloses the client device of claim 34 wherein

a) the encryption circuitry is to encrypt a content key for the content using the client device key to generate an encrypted content key; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device)

key utilized) and

b) the memory is to store the encrypted content key on the client device. ((see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit (i.e. memory) for encrypted content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client))

Regarding Claim 44, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising: storing the rights information on the client device along with an encrypted hash. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for UCP (i.e. rights information), and content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client; paragraph [0192], lines 1-5; paragraph [0239], lines 1-3: data storage) Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby storing the rights information in a clear form. However, Hall discloses wherein storing the rights information in a clear form. (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the storage of digital rights information in a clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Application/Control Number: 10/719,674 Page 24

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding Claim 47, Nonaka discloses the client device of claim 34 further comprising at least one of:

- a) an input port to download the rights information from a server device; (see

 Nonaka paragraph [0019], lines 7-10: interface (i.e. bus) for UCP (i.e. rights)

 information transfer) and
- b) a storage medium port to receive a storage medium having the rights information stored thereon. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for UCP (i.e. rights) information)

Regarding Claim 48, Nonaka discloses the client device of claim 47 wherein the memory at least partially comprises the storage medium. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit (i.e. memory) for content data)

Regarding Claim 49, Nonaka discloses a machine readable medium having stored thereon machine executable instructions, the execution of which to implement a method comprising:

- c) obtaining an integrity hash of the rights information; (see Nonaka paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus (i.e. client device); paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate (i.e. obtain) integrity hash)
- d) encrypting the integrity hash using the client device key to generate an encrypted hash; (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized; paragraph

[0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) key utilized) and

e) storing the encrypted hash on the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for encrypted content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client)

Page 25

Nonaka disclose wherein receiving rights information at a client device, said rights information being associated with content stored on the client device, said client device having a client device key and storing the rights information on the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: transfer UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0346], lines 5-8: inaccessible secure device utilized for hash generation; paragraph [0192], lines 1-5; paragraph [0239], lines 1-3: data storage) Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby receiving clear form rights information, and storing the rights information in a clear form.

However, Hall discloses:

- a) receiving clear form rights information, (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)
- b) storing the rights information in a clear form; (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka

as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the receipt and storage of digital rights information in clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Page 26

Nonaka-Hall does not specifically disclose whereby a client device key that is externally inaccessible from the client device. However, Thoma discloses wherein a client device key that is externally inaccessible from the client device. (see Thoma paragraph [0005], lines 1-3: content distribution; paragraph [0031], lines 15-21; paragraph [0033], lines 5-9; paragraph [0033], lines 11-12: inaccessible key)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka-Hall as taught by Thoma to enable the capability for an inaccessible key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Thoma in order to enable the capability for the selection of the terminal device to receive, distribute digital content from a wide variety of devices. (see Thoma paragraph [0012], lines 7-13)

Regarding Claim 59, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 49 wherein the rights information grants unlimited play for the content on the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for UCP (i.e. rights information), and content key data; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client; paragraph [0362],

Art Unit: 2136

lines 1-2; paragraph [0477], lines 1-3: unrestricted (unlimited) playback)

6. Claims **5**, **8**, **25**, **40**, **53**, **55** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Nonaka-Hall-Thoma** and further in view of **Serret-Avila et al.** (US Patent No. **6**,**959**,**384**).

Page 27

Regarding Claims 5, 53, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the integrity hash comprises a first integrity hash, the method further comprising:

storing the integrity hash on the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 1-4: storage circuit for content key data (i.e. first or second integrity hash); paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, client)

Nonaka does not specifically disclose whereby storing the integrity hash in a clear form.

However, Hall discloses:

b) storing the integrity hash in a clear form. (see Hall col. 2, lines 7-14; col. 6, lines 12-16; col. 6, lines 28-32: digital rights management; col. 6, lines 19-22: clear form storage of digital rights information)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nanaka as taught by Hall to enable the capability for the storage of digital rights information in clear form. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Hall in order to enable the capability to ensure data structure

integrity, flexibility, interoperability in the management of digital rights information. (see Hall col. 1, lines 34-37)

Nonaka-Hall does specifically disclose the capability to generate a second integrity hash using a first integrity hash.

However, Serret-Avila discloses:

a) obtaining a second integrity hash of the rights information; (see Serret-Avila col.4, lines 43-49; col. 5, lines 2-11: integrity hash generation using input hash value)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka-Hall as taught by Serret-Avila to enable the capability to generate a second integrity hash. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Serret-Avila in order to enable a relatively fast, secure, and efficient authentication of data streams. (see Serret-Avila col. 2, line 66 - col. 3, line 3: " ... a need for systems and methods that overcome some or all of these limitations by providing relatively fast, secure, and efficient authentication of data streams and other electronic content. ... ")

Regarding Claim 6, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 5 wherein obtaining the second integrity hash comprises: receiving the second integrity hash from a server device (see Nonaka paragraph [0476], lines 1-4; paragraph [0525], lines 3-6: receive hash, UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, server), said server device having generated the second integrity hash using a server

device key. (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encryption utilized; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license (i.e. device) key utilized; paragraph [0019], lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus; paragraph [0339], lines 2-6: attached host CPU, server)

Regarding Claims 7, 40, 55, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 5 wherein obtaining the first integrity hash comprises:

applying the client device key in a combination with the rights information and the second integrity hash, and determining the first integrity hash from the combination of the rights information, the second integrity hash, and the client device key. (see Nonaka paragraph [0026], lines 21-25: encrypt data UCP (i.e. rights) information; paragraph [0036], lines 1-4: license key usage) Nonaka does specifically disclose the capability to generate a second integrity hash using a first integrity hash. However, Serret-Avila discloses:

- a) applying the client device key in a combination with the rights information and the second integrity hash; and (see Serret-Avila col.4, lines 43-49; col. 5, lines 2-11: integrity hash generation using input hash value)
- b) determining the first integrity hash from the combination of the rights information, the second integrity hash, and the client device key. (see Serret-Avila col.4, lines 43-49; col. 5, lines 2-11: integrity hash generation using input hash value)
 It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka
 as taught by Serret-Avila to enable the capability to generate a second integrity

Application/Control Number: 10/719,674 Page 30

Art Unit: 2136

hash. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Serret-Avila in order to enable a relatively fast, secure, and efficient authentication of data streams. (see Serret-Avila col. 2, line 66 - col. 3, line 3)

Regarding Claim 25, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 20 wherein obtaining the second integrity hash comprises: generating the integrity hash at the client device. (see Nonaka paragraph [0019, lines 1-6; paragraph [0019], lines 7-11: data processing apparatus (i.e. client device); paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generate integrity hash)

Nonaka does specifically disclose the capability to generate a second integrity hash using a first integrity hash. However, Serret-Avila discloses wherein generating the second integrity hash using the client device key as an integrity secret. (see Serret-Avila col.4, lines 43-49; col. 5, lines 2-11: integrity hash generation using input hash value)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka as taught by Serret-Avila to enable the capability to generate a second integrity hash. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Serret-Avila in order to enable a relatively fast, secure, and efficient authentication of data streams. (see Serret-Avila col. 2, line 66 - col. 3, line 3)

7. Claims **10, 32, 33, 43, 58** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Nonaka-Hall-Thoma** and further in view of **Chase, Jr et al.** (US Patent No. **7,080,043**).

Regarding Claims 10, 32, 43, 58, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 9. (see Nonaka paragraph [0246], lines 4-8: comparison of hash values to detect tampering)

Nonaka does not specifically disclose disabling the content on the client device if tampering is detected. However, Chase discloses wherein disabling the content on the client device if tampering is detected. (see Chase col. 3, lines 60-63: usage request; col. 4, lines 10-16; col. 33, lines 54-56; col. 33, lines 60-63; col. 34, lines 4-9: content compromised such as tampering, access to content disabled)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka as taught by Chase to enable the capability to disable access to content. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Chase in order to efficiently manage the rights attached to digital data such as the capability to revoke content if compromised, and add or remove a particular right. (see Chase col. 2, lines 47-51: "... a need exists for a method and mechanism that allows a content owner to revoke all rights of a user to render a piece of content, such as for example if the content owner learns that security with respect to such content has been breached. More generally, a need exists for a method and mechanism that allows a content owner to modify a license of the user to update rights of the user to render a piece of content, such as for example to extend an expiration date, adjust a play count, add or remove a particular right, etc. ... ")

Regarding Claim 33, Nonaka discloses the method of claim 31 further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/719,674 Page 32

Art Unit: 2136

wherein to initiate generation of the validation hash and comparison to the integrity hash. (see Nonaka paragraph [0027], lines 1-7: generation of validation hash; paragraph [0246], lines 4-8: comparison hash values to detect tampering). Nonaka does not specifically disclose the capability to disable content.

However, Chase discloses:

- a) receiving a usage request for the content stored at the client device, said usage request; (see Chase col. 3, lines 60-63; usage request; col. 4, lines 10-16; col. 33, lines 54-56; col. 33, lines 60-63; col. 34, lines 4-9; content compromised, access to content disabled) and
- b) permitting usage only if the content is not disabled. (see Chase col. 4, lines 10-16; col. 33, lines 54-56; col. 33, lines 60-63; col. 34, lines 4-9: content compromised, access to content disabled)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nonaka as taught by Chase to enable the capability to disable content. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Chase in order to efficiently manage the rights attached to digital data such as the capability to revoke content if compromised, and add or remove a particular right. (see Chase col. 2, lines 47-51)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlton Johnson whose telephone number is 571-270-

Art Unit: 2136

1032. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nassar Moazzami, can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Examiner Art Unit 2136

Carlton V. Johnson

January 7, 2008

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

1/17/08